

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION**

**AMERICAN ALLIANCE FOR EQUAL
RIGHTS,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**FOUNDERS FIRST COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,**

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 4:24-cv-00327-O

ORDER

Before the Court are the Motion to Compel filed by Defendant (ECF No. 32); the Response filed by Plaintiffs (ECF No. 37); and Defendant's Reply (ECF No. 38). After reviewing the motion, response, and reply, the Court finds that the Motion should be **DENIED**. Accordingly, the Court **SUSTAINS** Plaintiff's objections to ROG 1 and ROG 2.

ROG Nos. 1-2: Defendant requests pseudonymous Member A's identity, the name of his business, and related addresses. Specifically, ROG 1 requests the identity of Member A's name and the name of his business, while ROG 2 requests the identification of Member A's home address and business address. ECF No. 32 at 2.

At this stage, before the Rule 26(f) conference and before the resolution of a pending preliminary-injunction motion, the Court finds the association's anonymous member's identity is not relevant. Member A is an associational member of the named plaintiff, not an individually named party to the litigation. That discovery obligation may change as the litigation progresses to summary judgment or trial.

SO ORDERED on this **July 10, 2024**.



Reed O'Connor
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE